



Open Library of Humanities



Part of the Ubiquity
Partner Network

Digital Studies /
Le champ numérique

Research

How to Cite: Freebury-Jones, Darren. 2019. "Fearful Dreams" in Thomas Kyd's Restored Canon. *Digital Studies/Le champ numérique* 9(1): 3, pp. 1–25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16995/dscn.309>

Published: 07 February 2019

Peer Review:

This is a peer-reviewed article in *Digital Studies/Le champ numérique*, a journal published by the Open Library of Humanities.

Copyright:

© 2019 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Open Access:

Digital Studies/Le champ numérique is a peer-reviewed open access journal.

Digital Preservation:

The Open Library of Humanities and all its journals are digitally preserved in the CLOCKSS scholarly archive service.

RESEARCH

"Fearful Dreams" in Thomas Kyd's Restored Canon

Darren Freebury-Jones

Cardiff University to Shakespeare Birthplace Trust, in Stratford-upon-Avon, GB

Darren_f.j@hotmail.co.uk

This article utilizes a combination of digital and traditional literary-critical analysis in order to explore ominous dreams in the Elizabethan dramatist Thomas Kyd's undoubted plays *The Spanish Tragedy* (1587), *Soliman and Perseda* (1588), and *Cornelia* (1594). The article proceeds to explore the use of dreams in the anonymously printed early modern plays *King Leir* (1589) and *Arden of Faversham* (1590) in order to demonstrate that these works are in good accordance with Kyd's highly individual thought processes and overall dramaturgy. Close reading of these texts is accompanied by an examination of the characteristics of Kyd's verse style, as well as collocation matching, which provides quantitative and qualitative evidence for Kyd's idiosyncratic lexicon of words and phrases. This study extends and corrects the work of generations of attribution scholars and suggests that *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham* can be ascribed to Kyd with a high degree of probability.

Keywords: Corpus linguistics; collocations; Kyd; Shakespeare; King Leir; Arden of Faversham

Cet article emploie une combinaison d'analyses numérique et traditionnelle de la critique littéraire pour explorer les rêves de mauvais augure dans les pièces de théâtre incontestables de *Thomas Kyd : La Tragédie espagnole* (1587), *Soliman et Perseda* (1588) et *Cornélie* (1594). Cet article examine ensuite l'usage des rêves dans les pièces de théâtre du début des Temps Modernes, *Le Roi Lear* (1589) et *Arden de Faversham* (1590), qui ont été imprimées anonymement, afin de démontrer que ces œuvres se conforment bien au processus de pensée et à sa dramaturgie générale. Une lecture attentive de ces textes s'accompagne d'une analyse des caractéristiques du style de vers de Kyd. Nous examinons aussi les collocations correspondantes, ce qui fournit des preuves quantitatives et qualificatives du lexique idiosyncrasique et des tournures de phrase de Kyd. Cette étude étend et rectifie les travaux des générations d'universitaires d'attribution et elle suggère que les pièces de théâtre *Le Roi Lear* et *Arden de Faversham* peuvent être attribuées avec une grande probabilité à Kyd.

Mots clés: linguistique de corpus; collocations; Kyd; Shakespeare; King Leir; Arden de Faversham

The canon of Thomas Kyd

Thomas Kyd is traditionally accepted as the author of *The Spanish Tragedy* (1587), *Soliman and Perseda* (1588), and *Cornelia* (1594), a translation of Robert Garnier's French drama *Cornélie* (1573) (I have utilized Wiggins and Richardson 2012 and 2013 for the dates of first performances; all quotations in this article for *The Spanish Tragedy*, *Soliman and Perseda*, and *Cornelia* are from the Boas 1901 edition). In this essay I argue that the anonymous plays, *The True Chronicle History of King Leir* (1589) and *Arden of Faversham* (1590), can also be assigned to Kyd on the basis of parallelisms of thought and language, versification characteristics, and overall dramaturgy (all quotations in this article for *King Leir* come from Greg 1907; all quotations for *Arden of Faversham* come from Wine 1973). In particular, I focus on one aspect of Kyd's drama: his use of ominous dreams. Before presenting the evidence for Kyd's authorship of these plays, I shall need to elaborate on the methodologies I employ for identifying shared phrases between these texts.

Identifying shared phrases

Following Brian Vickers, who contends that "an author's individuality will be more visible if we can identify his preferred groupings of words" (2008, 13), I originally employed anti-plagiarism software called *WCOPYFIND* (2018, developed by Lou Bloomfield, Professor of Physics at the University of Virginia), in order to highlight strings of words shared between old spelling versions of these plays, downloaded from *Literature OnLine* (2019), or *LION*. Heinz Dreher points out that although there are "many systems doing [...] string-of-text matching," *WCOPYFIND* is one of the most "readily available" and is "computationally very efficient" (2007, 604). This software has the advantage of producing results that are "objectively identified" (Jackson 2012, 161), as well as "complete, and replicable by any other scholar, all qualities that constitute properly scientific procedures" (Vickers 2018, 458). It can be set to highlight any specified n-gram (consecutive word sequence) length within a pair of electronic documents, from two words upwards, and can even identify – within a fraction of a second – approximate matching utterances (for example, some sequences might differ slightly in terms of syntactical arrangement or spelling) through adjusting the "Minimum % of Matching Words" and "Most Imperfections to

Allow" values. Dennis McCarthy and June Schlueter also favour this software because it enables "the researcher to expose shared collocations and phrases that may have otherwise escaped notice" (2018, 12). The software, originally designed to expose student plagiarism, is not without disadvantages when it comes to the purpose of examining drama, however: it is impossible to compare results for all plays of the period concurrently and it is a painstaking process to check the rarity of each highlighted phrasal structure by using the search functions in *Literature OnLine*.

Fortunately, there has been a recent innovation, which has the potential to revolutionize corpus linguistic approaches to early modern drama: Pervez Rizvi (2018) has developed a publicly accessible electronic corpus of 527 play texts dated between 1552 and 1657, titled *Collocations and N-grams*. Searches of the normalized and lemmatized texts – drawn from Martin Mueller's (2014) corpus *Shakespeare His Contemporaries* (subsequently renamed *Early Modern Print*. "Early Modern Print" 2018) and the *Folger Shakespeare Library Editions* (2018) website – allow a wider range of matches to be discovered than by searches using original spelling or unlemmatized forms of words. Rizvi's results are fully automated and enable scholars to check for every contiguous word sequence (including lemmas) shared between plays. Rizvi's database also enables users to search for all discontinuous word sequences (i.e. matching phrases separated by intervening words) within ten-word windows, something that *WCopyfind* is apt to miss, given that the software is designed to detect shared n-grams. It has all of the advantages of plagiarism software in terms of its objectivity and reproducibility, but it does not require time-consuming searches of databases like *Literature OnLine*. I was therefore able to supplement the results drawn from using *WCopyfind* and *Literature OnLine* with recourse to Rizvi's *Collocations and N-grams*. The word sequences I present throughout this essay are rare in that they occur no more than five times within plays first performed on the London public stages during the period 1580–1600. These parallels adhere to David Lake's categorizations of "combinations of more than one word" and "grammatical or semantic" patterns (1975, 14). Studies of "an author's grammatical and especially syntactic practice" (Love 2002, 111) have, of course, long been a feature of this field and have led to many successes.

The methods described above help us to identify "idiolect markers," which are "combinatorial, embedded in an author's long-term memory, and repeated. We recognize them by unconscious pattern matching similar to what enables us to quickly make out a face in a crowd" (Lancashire 2010, 4). Vickers explains that:

Where earlier linguistic theories held that users of natural language selected single words to be placed within a syntactical and semantic structure, it now became clear that we also use groups of words, partly as a labour-saving device, partly as a function of memory. Such verbal economy is particularly prevalent in the drama written for the public theatres, where constraints of time demand speedy composition, characters fall into a set of roles with attendant speech patterns, and the verse line easily admits ready-made phrases. It is hardly surprising that many dramatists frequently repeat themselves. (Vickers 2014, 111)

Vickers's parallel-checking method has been criticized by several scholars involved in *The New Oxford Shakespeare* edition (Jackson 2008; Egan 2012; Taylor 2014), which includes, erroneously in my view, *Arden of Faversham* (Taylor, Jowett, Bourus, Egan 2016; Taylor and Egan 2017). Here I aim to show that these attributions are more compelling than detractors would have us believe, and that arguments for an "expanded" Kyd canon have been anticipated and developed by several scholars. My analysis involves both quantitative and qualitative research methods, rather than the purely statistical approaches that can be found in many recent essays concerning authorship attribution studies. We should bear in mind the caveat that statistical analysis, like literary analysis, can aspire to an objective viewpoint, but it also relies upon subjective interpretation.

Attributing *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham* to Kyd

Edmond Malone was the first scholar to suspect that Kyd was "the author of the old plays of *Hamlet*, and of *King Leir*" (Boswell 1821, 2.316), while later, in 1891, F. G. Fleay proposed Kyd and Thomas Lodge as authors of *King Leir* (1891, 2.52). John Mackinnon Robertson asserted in 1914 that there was "some reason to think" Kyd (albeit recasting a play written by Lodge, as suggested by Fleay in 1891) was the play's author (1914, 109).

Robertson expanded on his attribution in 1924, arguing that the "play is ascribable to Kyd on the score" of "the naturalness of the diction [...] the orderly planning and complication of the action throughout," and "the frequent parallelism both in action and in phrase to those of Kyd's ascertained plays" (1924, 387).

William Wells also argued for Kyd's authorship of *King Leir*, for it is "a play of simple, undisguised realism, with few flights of fancy. Its sentiment is extraordinarily naive, in content and expression, and yet, in its way, powerful. This accords with Kyd's characteristics" (1939, 434). We might question the somewhat pejorative tone of Wells's statement here, but he was right to dismiss arguments for Lodge as part author, for "the style of *Leir* is uniform throughout, one poet alone is involved" (437). He pointed out that *King Leir* is "abounding in feminine endings, and this points directly to Kyd, for none but he, among the pre-Shakespearian dramatists, wandered far from the normal ten-syllable line" (438). In his 1931 study of eleven-syllable verse lines, Philip Timberlake recorded an average of 10.8% feminine endings in *King Leir*, which corresponds to the 10.2% for *Soliman and Perseda*, and 9.5% for *Cornelia* (61–2). Kyd is the only known dramatist preceding Shakespeare who comes close to the proportion of feminine endings in *King Leir*; incidentally, *Arden of Faversham* averages 6.2% feminine endings. Timberlake's figures therefore rule out other authorship contenders, such as Robert Greene (whose plays have a range of 0.1–1.6), George Peele (1.5–5.4), and Christopher Marlowe (0.4–3.7), whose percentages, within their dramatic works, are manifestly too low. The Russian-American prosodist Marina Tarlinskaja points out that "*King Leir* could be attributed to Kyd on the basis of feminine endings alone" (2014, 105).

Paul V. Rubow, having identified numerous parallels of thought, language, and corresponding plot features, also ascribed *King Leir* to Kyd in 1948 (145–55). Recently, Vickers has utilized anti-plagiarism software to highlight "any identical three-word sequence" shared between *King Leir* and *The Spanish Tragedy*. Vickers "checked each match against" a "corpus of 54 plays performed in the public theatres before 1595" (2015). In total, he has identified 96 collocation (word association) matches that first appear in *King Leir* and the works of Kyd, which provides linguistic evidence for common authorship. Gabriel Egan (2009), citing MacDonald P. Jackson's (2008)

paper "New Research on the Dramatic Canon of Thomas Kyd" has called Vickers's method of collecting highly distinctive collocational clusters of words "useless":

Jackson dices the data several ways and the outcome is always the same: the three plays definitely by Kyd—*The Spanish Tragedy*, *Soliman and Perseda* and *Cornelia*—have stronger links with one another than with the four Vickers claimants for Kydness. Jackson dices the data yet another way, looking at unique matches per 1,000 lines, and the results are the same: the accepted Kyd plays are like one another and the ones Vickers wants to add to the Kyd canon are unlike them. (Egan 2009)

However, Jackson's statistics are based on Vickers's crude counts for matches, during his preliminary researches in 2008. For example, Jackson notes that Vickers counts 31 Kyd matches with *King Leir* (Jackson 2008, 114), but Vickers has since amassed a far more impressive number of unique matches between *King Leir* and *The Spanish Tragedy* alone. Here I should like to dispute Jackson's claim that "[t]he canonical Kyd plays are utterly different from the plays putatively Kyd's" in terms of quantities of verbal matches (2008, 121).

Martin Mueller's electronic corpus *Shakespeare His Contemporaries* consists of over 500 plays dated between 1552 and 1662. In 2009, Mueller applied a series of statistical tests to the putative Kyd texts that convinced him: "Vickers is right about the *Leir* play" and "*Arden*" (2009a). In a blog post entitled "N-grams and the Kyd canon: a crude test," on his (then) website *Digitally Assisted Text Analysis*, Mueller explained that he "ran an experiment on 318 early modern plays in the *MONK* corpus" and "extracted lemma n-grams from bigrams to heptagrams that were repeated at least once." He computed "their distribution across plays" and discovered that *King Leir* and *Soliman and Perseda* are placed above the median (the number separating the higher half of Mueller's data from the lower half) – with a percentage of 96.5 – for play pairs suggesting "characteristic patterns of authorial usage" (2009b), in comparison to all other candidate playwrights. We might note that this percentage is higher than that found for the accepted Kyd play pair *Soliman and Perseda* and *Cornelia* (93.5%). Mueller also demonstrated that *Soliman and Perseda* and *Arden*

of *Faversham* are placed "in the top quartile for shared two-play n-grams by the same author," with a percentage of 99.7, while *Arden of Faversham* and *King Leir* are given a percentage of 99, which provides compelling quantitative evidence for common authorship of these texts (Mueller 2009b).

In another blog post titled "Vickers is right about Kyd," Mueller applied "Discriminant Analysis to lemma trigrams" (three-word sequences) "that occur at least 500 times in 318 early modern plays," which "misclassifies 50 or 16% of 318 plays. It gets 84% right. Of 37 plays by Shakespeare, it gets 34 right" (2009a). Discriminant Analysis, which establishes "variance between groups on the basis of the combined effect of multiple variables," assigned *The Spanish Tragedy* to Kyd with a 96.1% chance, while *Soliman and Perseda* and *Cornelia* were given percentages of 85.3 and 79.7 respectively. Mueller also applied these tests to plays newly attributed to Kyd: Discriminant Analysis assigned *King Leir* to Kyd with a 99.3% chance, while *Arden of Faversham* was given a 97.4% chance of having been written by Kyd, once again in comparison to all other candidate dramatists of the period, including Shakespeare. Mueller concluded that "Discriminant Analysis very strongly confirms" that these plays come "from the same stable" as the three accepted Kyd plays, and "If you combine my evidence from common trigrams" with the evidence "from rare shared repetitions, you would have to be very sceptical about the power of quantitative analysis not to acknowledge the fact that the claim for an expanded Kyd canon rests on quite solid evidence" (2009a).

Mueller (2014) has also pointed out that plays by the same author are likely to share twice as many rare n-grams consisting of at least four words as plays by different authors. Indeed, *Arden of Faversham* shares more dislegomena (phrases that recur in only one other play) of this type with *Soliman and Perseda* than any other play in Mueller's corpus, and *King Leir* shares more unique tetragrams-plus (four-word units or higher) with the domestic tragedy than any Shakespeare text (Freebury-Jones 2015; Mueller 2018).¹ In order to further determine whether the contested plays are, as Jackson and Egan have suggested, unlike Kyd's accepted

¹ Readers interested in consulting Mueller's spreadsheets relating to Kyd's canon can find them here: Mueller 2017a; Mueller 2017b.

plays in terms of quantities of verbal matches, I tested these texts for contiguous sequences of four or more words and adjusted my figures according to composite word counts. However, using Jackson's own criteria, I recorded only tetragrams-plus that occur "not more than five times in drama of the period 1580–1600" (2014, 219). In my initial round of analysis, I used *WCOPYFIND* to highlight strings of words shared between old spelling versions of these texts, drawn from *Literature OnLine*, and checked the rarity of the highlighted phrasal structures by searching plays written during the specified time period in *LION*. I subsequently double-checked results by searching Pervez Rizvi's publicly accessible electronic corpus, *Collocations and N-grams*, so that these findings are entirely reproducible. Rizvi points out in a paper published on his website titled "Which N-grams are the Best?," that "unique 3-grams" and "4-grams are the best authorial markers" (*Collocations and N-grams* 2018). Rizvi tested uncontested plays in his corpus and discovered that tetragrams "correctly attribute 82 out of 86 plays, while 5-grams are not far behind, with 80 out of 86 correct." In another document on his website titled "*Arden of Faversham* and the Extended Kyd Canon," Rizvi discovered that the three accepted Kyd plays and *Arden of Faversham* as a whole are all assigned to Kyd according to quantitative analyses of both unique trigrams and tetragrams (Rizvi 2018).

I discovered 21 rare tetragrams-plus between *The Spanish Tragedy* and *Cornelia* (adjusting this total according to the combined word count of these texts gives us a percentage of 0.06 matches); 12 rare word sequences between *Soliman and Perseda* and *Cornelia* (0.03 matches); and 36 sequences shared by *The Spanish Tragedy* and *Soliman and Perseda* (0.09 matches).² By testing the accepted Kyd texts, I provided a negative check that enabled me to compare results for the disputed plays. My tests demonstrate that *King Leir* is at one with the other Kyd plays in terms of the quantity and quality of matching phrases. *King Leir* more or less shares the same number of matches with *The Spanish Tragedy* (0.09 matches) and *Cornelia* (0.04 matches) as *Soliman and Perseda* does. *King Leir* also shares 30 tetragrams (0.07 matches) with *Soliman and Perseda*.

² I wish to thank Pervez Rizvi for sending me additional data for all the electronically tagged Kyd texts within his corpus, which enabled me to ensure that these figures are comprehensive. Email correspondence, 24 June 2017.

Significantly, *Arden of Faversham* shares a remarkable 47 rare tetragrams-plus with *Soliman and Perseda* (0.12 matches); 32 tetragrams with *The Spanish Tragedy* (0.07 matches); and 14 tetragrams (0.04 matches) with *Cornelia*. Furthermore, the Kentish tragedy shares 41 rare word sequences with *King Leir* (0.09 matches). Below, I rank these putative Kyd play pairs according to the percentages for rare shared tetragrams-plus:

1. *Arden of Faversham* – *Soliman and Perseda*
2. *Arden of Faversham* – *King Leir*
3. *The Spanish Tragedy* – *Soliman and Perseda*
4. *King Leir* – *The Spanish Tragedy*
5. *Arden of Faversham* – *The Spanish Tragedy*
6. *King Leir* – *Soliman and Perseda*
7. *The Spanish Tragedy* – *Cornelia*
8. *Arden of Faversham* – *Cornelia*
9. *King Leir* – *Cornelia*
10. *Soliman and Perseda* – *Cornelia*

The Spanish Tragedy, *Soliman and Perseda*, and *Cornelia* are essentially Senecan tragedies, whereas *King Leir* (a comedy) and *Arden of Faversham* (a domestic tragedy) are largely based on Raphael Holinshed's *Chronicles of England, Scotland, and Ireland* (1587). It is also worth noting that Kyd's Turkish tragedy is an extended version of the play-within-the-play from *The Spanish Tragedy*, and the verbal evidence I have collected suggests that Kyd recycled phrases from this moment in his earlier tragedy when he came to write *Soliman and Perseda*. It is therefore striking that verbal relations between *Arden of Faversham* and *Soliman and Perseda* exceed any other play pair in the "extended" Kyd canon, and that the number of matches between *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham* exceeds that of *The Spanish Tragedy* and *Soliman and Perseda*. The low rankings for play pairs involving *Cornelia* are accounted for by the fact that that play is a rather free translation from Garnier's French, but it is worth noting that *Arden of Faversham* and *King Leir* share more matches with the closet drama than *Soliman and Perseda* does, despite generic differences. Readers may consult these matching phrases, the large majority of

which have never been highlighted before, in the appendix ("Rare Tetragrams Plus Shared between Plays Attributable to Kyd") to this essay.

The battery of computational tests above encompasses short word strings (such as two-word units, known as bigrams), longer strings of words (tetragrams-plus), as well as both high-frequency and rare word sequences. Fundamentally, each parameter of analysis suggests it is linguistically conceivable that these five texts were written by the same author, which contradicts Jackson's claim that "The canonical Kyd plays are utterly different from the plays putatively Kyd's" (2008, 121).

Jackson (2014) has argued in his monograph *Determining the Shakespeare Canon: Arden of Faversham & A Lover's Complaint* that *Arden of Faversham* is a collaborative play written by Shakespeare and an unknown dramatist who was probably not Kyd.³ Having spent several years testing the Kyd ascriptions and scrutinizing Jackson and his *New Oxford Shakespeare* colleagues' counterarguments, I have reached the conclusion that the domestic tragedy was written solely by Kyd, and that verbal links with Shakespeare's plays are indicative of Kyd's influence on Shakespeare, as opposed to Shakespeare's authorship. For instance, in a study conducted in 2016 I tested Scene Eight, which Jackson ascribes to Shakespeare, for collocations shared with the remainder of the play (Freebury-Jones 2016). Plagiarism software highlighted a considerable number of distinct parallelisms of thought and language, many of which were unparalleled in Shakespeare's corpus, but could be detected in Kyd's plays. I argued that these findings were indicative of Kyd's sole authorship. More recently, while exploring the notion of Shakespeare's aural, or "actor's memory," I demonstrated that verbal relations (specifically tetragrams-plus) between Shakespeare plays and *Arden of Faversham* were in accordance with other texts ascribed to Kyd (Freebury-Jones 2017), thus countering Jackson's assumption that the domestic tragedy was "more influential than all the other plays in which" Shakespeare may have "acted" (Jackson 2014, 24). Furthermore, having adjusted Jackson's (2008) figures for trigrams shared between *Henry VI Part Two*

³ For a careful rebuttal of Jackson and his *New Oxford Shakespeare* colleagues' more recent arguments, presented in *The New Oxford Shakespeare: Authorship Companion*, see Freebury-Jones 2018a.

(1591), *The Taming of the Shrew* (1592), and scenes he assigns to Shakespeare and an unknown co-author in the Kentish tragedy, I showed that the distribution of unique matches does not support Jackson's divisions of authorship, nor his claim that the play is co-authored. Elsewhere, I have shown that the pausation practices in *Arden of Faversham*; as well as the figures for feminine endings; the distribution of exclamations and colloquialisms; the prosodic features of high-frequency words; and the frequency and inventiveness of compound adjectives, reveal homogeneity between the portions Jackson assigns to Shakespeare and an unknown co-author (quite unlike the results I have obtained from controlled experiments on Shakespeare collaborations, as part of these studies), and are commensurate with Kyd's sole authorship (Freebury-Jones 2018a, 2018b, Forthcoming 2019).

The case for Kyd's authorship of *Arden of Faversham* has been made by generations of scholars. F. G. Fleay proposed Kyd as the play's author in 1891 (29), as did Charles Crawford in 1903. Crawford observed that the play "echoes all parts of Kyd's work; and, therefore, it is a difficult thing to make choice of illustrations, there being such an abundance of material to substantiate his claim to the play" (1906, 120). Having listed 50 verbal matches between the domestic tragedy and *The Spanish Tragedy* and *Soliman and Perseda*, he concluded that "the parallels from Marlowe and Lyly are of an entirely different character from those I have adduced from Kyd himself. I assert, then, that Kyd is the author of *Arden of Faversham*" (Crawford 1906, 130). In 1907 Walter Miksch, having studied the stylistic, metrical, and rhetorical features of *Arden of Faversham* in comparison to *The Spanish Tragedy* and *Soliman and Perseda*, ascribed the play to Kyd. He listed almost a hundred verbal matches between these texts (Miksch 1907, 19–29). The following year, C. F. Tucker Brooke agreed with Fleay and Crawford that "there are more parallels in feeling and expression between" the "play and the tragedies of Kyd than coincidences will account for" (1908, xv). H. Dugdale Sykes, in 1919, identified additional collocation matches, including some with Kyd's *Cornelia*. He argued that "this play has rightly been assigned to Kyd," for "the resemblances between *Arden* and the unquestioned work of Kyd extend to the most trivial details of phrasing and vocabulary, and the

whole weight of the internal evidence supports the conclusion that it is the product of Kyd's own pen" (48–9).

I now extend these studies through exploring ominous dreams in Kyd's three undoubted plays, and the verbal and dramaturgical correspondences between these passages, before comparing the use of ominous dreams in *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham*. I suggest that my phraseological findings (many of which have not been discovered by previous scholars) give us an insight into Kyd's cognitive processes, and thus provide additional evidence for his authorship of these texts.

Ominous dreams in Kyd's uncontested plays

Prophetic, premonitory, or precognitive dreams and/or visions can be found in the works of Seneca: *Agamemnon* opens with the ghost of Thyestes foreseeing Agamemnon's death, while Andromache has an ominous dream in which she is visited by the ghost of Hector in *Troades*. Conversely, many Elizabethan writers argued that there was little to no validity in the notion that dreams could impart "special knowledge" (Alwes 1996, 153). For example, Thomas Nashe, in his pamphlet *The Terrors of the Night* (1594), contended that such dreams were "nothing else but a bubbling scum or froth of the fancy" (Steane 1972, 218). Francis Bacon asserted that the tales of divine prophecies throughout history had been "by idle and crafty brains merely contrived and feigned, after the event past" (Vickers 1996, 414). Prophetic dreams, however, are given some credibility in Kyd's plays.

For instance, William Wells noted in 1939 that "Kyd's characters almost invariably anticipate disaster by dreams or premonitions," and that it is "probable that it was from Garnier that Kyd derived the feature" (435–6). Indeed, Kyd seems to have been influenced by Garnier long before he came to translate his closet drama; the General's Speech in Act One Scene Two of *The Spanish Tragedy* was modelled partly on Garnier's description of the battle of Thapsus in *Cornélie*. Garnier was in turn influenced by Seneca's revenge tragedies, while Kyd, as Lukas Erne points out, "claims Seneca emphatically as his ancestor" (2001, 81). Kyd's drama, like Seneca's, adheres to a providential design. Miles S. Drawdy explains that "providentialism refers to the belief" that "the earth—indeed the cosmos—is divinely ordered and that this order, when sensitively interpreted, reveals, at least in part, the divine will" (2014, 2).

Prophetic dreams afford Kyd's characters an insight into the fates prescribed to them by cosmic forces. In *The Spanish Tragedy*, the Viceroy, who has been told (falsely) by Villuppo that his son has been slain in battle, says, "I, I, my nightly dreames have tolde me this" (*Sp. T.*, 1.3.76). Similarly, Bel-imperia anticipates her lover Horatio's murder in the line, "my hart foretels me some mischaunce" (2.4.15). Horatio attempts to placate Bel-imperia by dismissing her premonition: "Sweet, say not so; faire fortune is our freend" (*Sp. T.*, 2.4.16). Kyd repeated this process (while drawing from his individual store of verbal formulae, in order to fulfil the same dramatic purpose) of having a character imparting a dream or vision to a confidant, who subsequently dismisses their interpretation of the premonition, throughout his dramatic career.

In *Soliman and Perseda*, the play's heroine, Perseda, upon hearing of her lover Erastus' murder, repeats the Viceroy's line from *The Spanish Tragedy*:

I, I, **my nightly dreames** have **tolde me this** (*Sp. T.*, 1.3.76)

almost verbatim:

Ah no; **my nightly dreames** foretould me **this** (*S&P*, 5.3.25).

Verbal formulations of this kind, repeated in recurring dramatic situations, are indicative of Kyd's idiosyncratic lexicon of collocations. As this example suggests: "A writer's memory can recall extensive linguistic units together with their prosodic frame. Kyd often recalled the physiognomy of a verse-line, so to speak, the placing of key words at the beginning and/or ending" (Vickers 2018, 452–3). Verbal patterns that recur in the same prosodic positions can therefore provide useful evidence for Kyd's hand.

In the third act of *Cornelia*, the eponymous character relates a dream in which the ghost of Pompey visited her. The dream is prophetic in that Pompey tells Cornelia her father is also dead, which is confirmed by the Messenger in the play's final act. Erne suggests that Kyd's "aim seems to have been to impregnate his text with a stranger sense of the supernatural than he found in the original" (2001, 210). Cornelia cries, "My fearfull dreames do my despairs redouble" (*Corn.*, 3.1.61), to which the Chorus respond, "Why suffer you wayne dreames your heade to trouble?"

(*Corn.*, 3.1.62). Like Horatio, the Chorus attempt to conciliate the dreamer with a dismissal of the dream's significance. Nevertheless, the dream has such an impact on Cornelia that she still thinks she can see the ghost of Pompey, even when awake:

And, thinking to embrace him, opte mine armes,
When drousy sleep, that wak'd mee at unwares,
Dyd with hys flight uncloze my feareful eyes
So suddainly, that yet mee thinks I see him. (*Corn.*, 3.1.122–5)

Kyd (by my argument) would also employ this device of having a character suffer from hypnopompic delusions in *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham*.

Ominous dreams in Kyd's contested plays

At the beginning of *King Leir*, the eponymous character announces that "me thinks, **my** mind presageth still/**I know not what; and yet** I feare **some** ill" (*KL*, 3.216–7). These lines parallel, in both thought and language, Bel-imperia's speech: "**I know not what** my selfe:/**And yet my** hart foretels me **some** mischaunce" (*Sp. T.*, 2.4.14–15). As Muriel St. Clare Byrne put it in a classic essay: "Quality is all-important" in studies of verbal matches, and "parallelism of thought coupled with some verbal parallelism" provides stronger evidence for common authorship than "verbal parallelism" alone (1932, 24). Close study of shared phraseology therefore supplements the quantitative arguments above.

In Scene Nineteen, the King wakes from a prophetic dream, in which his:

daughters, Gonorill & Ragan,
Stood both before me with such grim aspects.
Eche brandishing a Faulchion in their hand
Ready to lop a lymme off where it fell,
And in their other hands a naked poynyard,
Wherewith they stabd me in a hundred places. (*KL*, 19.1488–93)

Leir, like Bel-imperia and Cornelia, imparts his premonition "to a friend," who dismisses "any deductions" he "may have drawn" (Wells 1939, 436). He tells

Perillus that "with the feare of this I did awake,/And yet for feare my feeble joints do quake" (*KL*, 19.1500–1), which is comparable to the effect Cornelia's dream has on her: "When drousy sleep, that wak'd mee at unwares,/Dyd with hys flight unclose my feareful eyes/So suddainly, that yet mee thinks I see him" (*Corn.*, 3.1.123–5). Leir's dream is fairly accurate, for Ragan has sent the Messenger to slay him. Nevertheless, Perillus reassures him, "Feare not, my lord, dreames are but fantasies,/And slight imaginations of the brayne" (*KL*, 19.1481–2), which is akin to the Chorus's response to Cornelia: "Why suffer you vayne dreames your heade to trouble?" (*Corn.*, 3.1.62). Leir's premonitions are symptomatic of the "divine theatre in which" Kyd's characters "enact the roles they have been prescribed by God" (Erne 2001, 96). Leir is only saved from his dream becoming total reality by the intervention of thunder, which causes the Messenger to flee. Leir and Perillus attribute this storm to a divine power: the Messenger exits and Perillus says, "Let us give thanks to God" (*KL*, 19.1759). Kyd's characters can often be seen "testifying to a just and omnipotent God," which follows a "providential logic" (Drawdy 2014, 38).

We can see, therefore, that the dream sequence in *King Leir* offers structural and dramaturgical parallels with Kyd's accepted plays. My evidence suggests that this scene also derives from the same author's linguistic resources. For example, Leir says, "**I** marvell, **that** my daughter **stayer so long**" (*KL*, 19.1476), which recalls, "**I** wonder **that** his Lordship **staies so long**" (*Sp. T.*, 3.4.30). We find the common phrase, "God graunt," in Leir's line, "God graunt we do not miscarry in the place" (*KL*, 19.1478), but there is a unique association of this line-opening with the topic of dreams, shared with Cornelia's line, "God graunt these dreames to good effect bee brought" (*Corn.*, 3.1.65). We might compare Leir's description of his daughters "Ech brandishing a **Faulchion in their** hand" (*KL*, 19.1490) with the Messenger's report of the Battle of Thapsus in *Cornelia*, and the Roman nobles "with their **fauchins in their** fists" (*Corn.*, 5.1.307). We also find the phrase, "bleeding wounds," shared between Leir's account of the dream, in which his daughter Cordella "Came with a boxe of Balsome in her hand,/And powred it **into** my **bleeding wounds**" (*KL*, 19.1496–7), and Hieronimo's account of finding his son's corpse: "Within the river of his **bleeding wounds**" (*Sp. T.*, 4.4.124). The singular form, "bleeding wound," occurs in *Cornelia*, in the line,

"**And** launc'd hys **bleeding wound into** the sea" (*Corn.*, 4.1.24), which, following the grammatical pattern in *King Leir*, is accompanied by the line-opening conjunction "And," a transitive verb, as well as a possessive pronoun (as in *The Spanish Tragedy*), and the preposition "into." The line thus appears to be a variant of the same writer's verbal formula. Having combined digital methodologies with close reading of these plays, I suggest that *King Leir* should be restored to Kyd's canon.

I will shortly present the linguistic and dramaturgical links I have discovered between *Arden of Faversham* and the use of dreams in Kyd's three accepted plays, as well as *King Leir*. Firstly, however, I should point out that supporters of Shakespeare's part authorship of *Arden of Faversham* might object that the use of ominous dreams is not exclusive to Kyd, for there are comparable passages in Shakespeare plays. For instance, Clarence anticipates his death through a dream in Act One Scene Four of *Richard III* (1593), but the pattern is quite unlike Kyd's plays, for Brakenbury commiserates with Clarence, rather than dismissing any deductions. Jackson suggests that Arden's dream shares "a psychological subtlety" with Clarence's monologue (2014, 55), and yet he records just one rare verbal link between these passages (237–9). Shakespeare seems to have been deeply conscious of Kyd's use of dreams when he came to write this scene in *Richard III*. This play is obsessed with the topic of dreams: there are 26 occurrences of the word "dream" or its variants in the text, more than any other play in Shakespeare's corpus. Act One Scene Four presents a fascinating example of Shakespeare's infusion of source material. The scene appears to draw from Thomas Sackville's *The Mirror for Magistrates*; Ovid's *Metamorphoses*; Seneca's tragedies; Spenser's *The Fairie Queen*; Homer's *The Odyssey*; and Virgil's *Aeneid*, as well as Kyd's plays, but not from any of Shakespeare's historical sources. The dream-world that Clarence describes is reminiscent of Andrea's prologue in *The Spanish Tragedy*, while the verbal texture of this scene recalls *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham*, thus supporting Erne's evaluative statement that "Shakespeare, perhaps more than anyone else, seems to have specifically profited from Kyd's works" (2001, 5). As I have demonstrated elsewhere, plays that Shakespeare composed around this period, such as *Henry VI Part Three* (1591) and *Titus Andronicus* (1592), share a striking number of verbal correspondences with Kyd's corpus (Freebury-Jones 2017). The emphasis on dreams in *Richard III* could therefore be the result of Shakespeare's

closely attending to Kyd's dramaturgy in works written around this time. Shakespeare is unlikely to have written *Richard III* immediately after *Henry VI Part Three*, given the "remarkable difference in dramatic power and stylistic control" (Wells and Taylor 1987, 115) between these plays. The composition of Shakespeare's revenge drama, *Titus Andronicus*, which is heavily influenced by Kyd's dramaturgy, prior to *Richard III*, could therefore help to explain why the history play can be considered "a study in Kydian methods" (Wells 1940, 243).

Another similar Shakespearean example would be Act Two Scene Two of *Julius Caesar* (1599), in which Caesar speaks of Calpurnia's menacing dream, only to have it interpreted favourably by Decius Brutus. However, the importance of chronology must be emphasized: Shakespeare's play was written around a decade after Kyd's accepted plays, which are near-contemporary to *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham*. Also, the account in Shakespeare's play appears to derive from Valerius Maximus, Plutarch, or Suetonius, and Jackson (2014) records no rare links between Arden and Calpurnia's dreams.

Early in the Kentish tragedy, the servant Michael, speaking very much in the voice of Kyd's Cornelia, has a "**fearful dream that troubled me**" (AF, 4.93), in which he "was beset/With murderer thieves" (4.94–95). These lines parallel Kyd's heroine thus: "The **fearefull dreames effect that trouble me**" (*Corn.*, 2.1.209). Franklin, disturbed by Michael's cry, complains, "**What** dismal **outcry** calls **me from my** rest?" (AF, 4.87). This line replicates Hieronimo's famous cry: "**What out-cries** pluck **me from my** naked bed" (*Sp. T.*, 2.5.1). We might consider this to be a direct allusion to *The Spanish Tragedy*, but the fact that the author of *Arden of Faversham* couples this line with Hieronimo's later image of the "dismall out-cry" (*Sp. T.*, 4.4.109) suggests self-repetition on Kyd's part. This line is also matched in, "O was it thou that **call'dst me from my** bed?" (*Sp. T.*, 3.2.16), and in *Soliman and Perseda*: "**What** **dismall** Planets guides this fatal hower?" (*S&P*, 1.5.78). These four unique matches with a single line in *Arden of Faversham* lead me to concur with Sykes, who pointed out that Kyd was most likely "producing, in the phraseology natural to him, just such an incident as he had already used in his earlier play" (1919, 67). Michael's declarative, "**My** trembling **joints** witness my inward **fear**" (AF, 4.95), gives us another unique match with the dream sequence of *King Leir*: "And yet for **fear** **my** feeble **joints** do quake" (*KL*, 19.1501). Thomas Arden is dismissive here: "So great a cry for nothing I ne'er heard" (AF, 4.97).

However, Arden anticipates his own murder through an ominous dream in Scene Six, in which "a **toil** was pitched" (*AF*, 6.7) by an evil "herdman" (6.17), and he compares his frightening nightmare to "**one**" (6.21) who "sees a **lion** foraging about" (6.22). This passage shares a similar hunting image thread to Haleb's dialogue in *Soliman and Perseda*: "The **ones a Lyon** almost brought to death,/Whose skin will countervaille the hunter's **toile**" (*S&P*, 1.5.41–42).⁴ Indeed, Scene Six of *Arden of Faversham* shares a large number of rare verbal links with Kyd's undoubted plays and *King Leir*, unacknowledged by Jackson (2014) in his monograph. Jackson uses the database *Literature OnLine* to test the rarity of utterances that he considers worth recording. Jackson concedes that this process of determining "whether a parallel is close enough to be recorded" involves "an element of subjectivity" and that "no doubt some relevant data have been accidentally overlooked" (2014, 19). This process of picking out potentially significant phrases in each line is evidently time-consuming in comparison to the use of plagiarism software, which might account for why Jackson examines less than ten percent of the play in his monograph. My findings suggest that Jackson has "overlooked" more than just "some relevant data" (2014, 19).

Like *Leir*, Arden is being pursued by murderers and anticipates this attempt on his life through a dream. Wells noted that "They both dream that they are attacked by two persons, one of whom, in each case, carries a falchion" (1939, 436). If we examine the verbal details of this moment in *Arden of Faversham*, we once again find the line-opening, "God grant," uniquely associated with prophetic dreams in Arden's line, "**God grant** this **vision** bedee me any **good**" (*AF*, 6.31), which parallels, "God graunt we do not miscarry in the place" (*KL*, 19.1478), and, in particular, Kyd's *Cornelia*: "**God graunt** these dreames to **good** effect bee brought" (*Corn.*, 3.1.65). We should also note the unique co-occurrence of the (plural) noun "visions" in Kyd's closet drama (*Corn.*, 3.1.63). Arden tells his companion, Franklin:

So, trust me, Franklin when **I did awake**,
I stood in doubt whether I waked or no. (*AF*, 6.28–29)

⁴ See also Kyd's similar use of hunting imagery in his 1588 translation of Torquato Tasso's *Padre di Famiglia*, known as *The Householder's Philosophy* (*HP*, ll. 6–14).

Leir tells Perillus:

And with the feare of this **I did awake,**
And yet for feare my feeble joints do quake. (*KL*, 19.1500–1)

The three-word unit, "I did awake" (employed as a formulaic line-ending in both examples), is unique to these plays, yet Jackson fails to cite it in his monograph (2014, 237–9). Here we see verbal parallels combined with similar character relationships. Franklin reassures Arden that "This fantasy doth rise from Michael's fear,/Who being awaked with the noise he made,/His troubled senses yet could take no rest" (*AF*, 6.32–34). Franklin, like Perillus in *King Leir* and the Chorus in *Cornelia*, "makes the wrong diagnosis" of the dream, "for it is truly predictive" (Presson 1967, 239). Arden responds to his companion, "It may be so, God frame it to the best:/But oftentimes my **dreams** presage **too true**" (6.36–37). These lines parallel the Messenger's line in the dream sequence of *King Leir*: "Confesse, that **dreames** do often prove **too true**" (*KL*, 19.1484). Jackson makes no mention of this unique collocation in his analysis of the scene (2014, 237–9). Franklin's speech, "To such as note their nightly fantasies,/Some one in twenty may incur belief" (*AF*, 6.38–39), recalls *King Leir's*, "dreames are but fantasies,/And slight imaginations of the brayne" (*KL*, 19.1481–2), as well as *The Spanish Tragedy's*, "I, I, my nightly dreames have tolde me this" (*Sp. T.*, 1.3.76), and *Soliman and Perseda's*, "my nightly dreams foretold me this" (*S&P*, 5.3.25), through linking the premodifier "nightly" with the topic of ominous dreams. Garnier's "detailed influence on Kyd" is unmistakable in these passages (Baldwin 1959, 181). The French tragedian calls dreams "Qu'vn vain semblant, qu'vn *fantôme*, une image/Qui nous trompe en dormant, et non pas un présage" in his *Hippolyte* (1573), i.e. 'A vain pretense, a ghost, an image/Who deceives us while sleeping, and not an omen'.

Arden's line, "But in the pleasure **of this** golden **rest**" (*AF*, 6.12), matches Erastus's interrogative, "What thinks Lord Brusor **of this** strange **arrest**?" (*S&P*, 5.2.17). This example could have been prompted by the phonetically similar lexical choices "rest" and "arrest," for stored units may be manipulated and processed mentally according to both meaning and sound. We also find a unique formulaic line-opening

shared between Arden's line, "**With that he** blew an evil-sounding horn" (*AF*, 6.16), and Piston's line in *Soliman and Perseda*: "**With that he** purst the gould, and gave it us" (*S&P*, 5.2.52). Jackson (2014) focuses on the phrase, "blew an evil-sounding horn," in his monograph, whilst overlooking the unique parallel with Kyd. Indeed, Jackson (2014) detects just one rare link between Arden's dream and Kyd's corpus (with *Cornelia*), which suggests that the number-specific results obtained from his *LION* method are unrepresentative.

Kyd's restored canon

I hope that my analysis of the dream sequences above has given readers an insight into Kyd's dramatic methods, and the links of thought and language that could help to distinguish his oeuvre. With a forthcoming edition of Kyd's plays that will include *King Leir* and *Arden of Faversham* – as well as further justifications for the presence of these texts in *The Works of Thomas Kyd* (Vickers Forthcoming) – it seems fair to say that a new era in Kyd studies, which will enhance our knowledge of his contributions to early modern drama as a whole, has begun.

Additional File

The additional file for this article can be found as follows:

- **Appendix.** Rare Tetragrams Plus Shared between Plays Attributable to Kyd.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16995/dscn.309.s1>

Competing Interests

The author has no competing interests to declare.

References

- Alwes, Derek.** 1996. "Elizabethan Dreaming: Fictional Dreams from Gascoigne to Lodge." In *Framing Elizabethan Fictions: Contemporary Approaches to Early Modern Narrative Prose*, edited by Constance C. Relihan, 153–67. Kent, OH: The Kent State University Press.
- Baldwin, T. W.** 1959. *On the Literary Genetics of Shakespeare's Plays*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Bloomfield, Lou.** 2018. "WCopyfind." Accessed 18 December. <http://plagiarism.bloomfieldmedia.com/wordpress/software/wcopyfind/>.

- Boas, Frederick S.** (ed.) 1901. *The Works of Thomas Kyd*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Boswell, James.** (ed.) 1821. *The Plays and Poems of William Shakespeare: Volume II*. London: F. C. and J. Rivington.
- Brooke, Tucker C. F.** (ed) 1908. *Shakespeare Apocrypha*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Byrne, Muriel St. Clare.** 1932. "Bibliographic Clues in Collaborate Plays." *Library* 13: 21–48. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/library/s4-XIII.1.21>
- Crawford, Charles.** 1903. "The Authorship of *Arden of Faversham*." *Jahrbuch der deutschen Shakespeare-Gesellschaft* 39: 74–86.
- Crawford, Charles.** 1906. *Collectanea: First Series*. Stratford-upon-Avon: Shakespeare Head Press.
- Drawdy, Miles S.** 2014. "'See here my show': Providence and The Theatrum Mundi in Thomas Kyd's *The Spanish Tragedy*." Undergraduate Honours thesis, College of William and Mary.
- Dreher, Heinz.** 2007. "Automatic Conceptual Analysis for Plagiarism Detection." *Journal of Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology* 4: 601–14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.28945/974>
- "Early Modern Print."** 2018. Humanities Digital Workshop at Washington University in St. Louis. Accessed December 18. <https://earlyprint.wustl.edu/>.
- Egan, Gabriel.** 2009. "Year's Work in English Studies 2009: Shakespeare: Editions and Textual Studies." Accessed December 18, 2018. <http://gabrielegan.com/publications/Egan2011g.htm>.
- Egan, Gabriel.** 2012. "Shakespeare: Editions and Textual Studies." *The Year's Work in English Studies* 91: 328–410. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ywes/mas019>
- Erne, Lukas.** 2001. *Beyond The Spanish Tragedy: A Study of the Works of Thomas Kyd*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Fleay, Frederick Gard.** 1891. *A Biographical Chronicle of the English Drama: Volume II*. London: Reeves and Turner.
- Folger Shakespeare Library.** 2018. "Folger Shakespeare Library Editions." Accessed December 18. <http://www.folger.edu/folger-shakespeare-library-editions>.
- Freebury-Jones, Darren.** 2015. "N-grams, Authorship and Influence: Restoring Thomas Kyd's Canon." Accessed December 18, 2018. <https://scalablereading.northwestern.edu/?p=417>.

- Freebury-Jones, Darren.** 2016. "A raven for a dove': Kyd, Shakespeare, and the Authorship of *Arden of Faversham's* Quarrel Scene." *Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen und Literaturen* 253(1): 39–64.
- Freebury-Jones, Darren.** 2017. "Kyd and Shakespeare: Authorship versus Influence." *Authorship* 6(1): 1–24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21825/aj.v6i1.4833>
- Freebury-Jones, Darren.** 2018a. "Augean Stables; Or, the State of Modern Authorship Attribution Studies." *Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen und Literaturen* 255(1): 60–81.
- Freebury-Jones, Darren.** 2018b. "In Defence of Kyd: Evaluating the Claim for Shakespeare's Part Authorship of *Arden of Faversham*." *Authorship* 7(2): 1–14.
- Freebury-Jones, Darren.** Forthcoming 2019. "The Diminution of Thomas Kyd." *Journal of Early Modern Studies* 8.
- Greg, W. W.** (ed) 1907. *The History of King Leir*. Oxford: Malone Society Reprints.
- Jackson, MacDonald P.** 2008. "New Research on the Dramatic Canon of Thomas Kyd." *Research Opportunities in Medieval and Renaissance Drama* 47: 107–27.
- Jackson, MacDonald P.** 2012. "Looking for Shakespeare in *Double Falsehood*: Stylistic Evidence." In *The Quest for Cardenio: Shakespeare, Fletcher, Cervantes, and the Lost Play*, edited by David Carnegie, and Gary Taylor, 133–61. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jackson, MacDonald P.** 2014. *Determining the Shakespeare Canon: Arden of Faversham and A Lover's Complaint*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lake, David.** 1975. *The Canon of Thomas Middleton's Plays*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lancashire, Ian.** 2010. *Forgetful Muses: Reading the Author in the Text*. London: University of Toronto Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3138/9781442686328>
- "Literature OnLine."** 2019. ProQuest LLC. Accessed January 18. <http://lion.chadwyck.co.uk>.
- Love, Harold.** 2002. *Attributing Authorship: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511483165>

- McCarthy, Dennis, and June Schlueter.** 2018. *'A Brief Discourse of Rebellion and Rebels' by George North: A Newly Uncovered Manuscript Source for Shakespeare's Plays.* Cambridge: D. S. Brewer.
- Miksch, Walter.** 1907. *"Die Verfasserschaft des Arden of Feversham."* Doctoral thesis, Universität Breslau.
- Mueller, Martin.** 2009a. "Vickers is Right About Kyd." Accessed December 18, 2018. <http://www.brianvickers.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Martin-Mueller-on-Brian-Vickers-and-the-Kyd-canon.pdf>.
- Mueller, Martin.** 2009b. "N-grams and the Kyd Canon: A Crude Test." Accessed December 18, 2018. <http://www.brianvickers.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Martin-Mueller-on-Brian-Vickers-and-the-Kyd-canon.pdf>.
- Mueller, Martin.** 2014. "Repeated N-grams in *Shakespeare His Contemporaries* (SHC)." Accessed December 18, 2018. <https://scalablereading.northwestern.edu/?p=312>.
- Mueller, Martin.** 2017a. "Phrasal Repetitions Between Arden of Faversham and Other Early Modern Plays." April 6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2547779>
- Mueller, Martin.** 2017b. "Phrasal Repetitions in Early Modern Drama." April 6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2547765>
- Mueller, Martin.** 2018. "Shared Unique Tetragrams Between Early Modern Plays." December 18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2547809>
- Presson, Robert K.** 1967. "Two Types of Dream in Elizabethan Drama, and Their Heritage: Somnium Animale and the Prick-of-Conscience." *Studies in English Literature* 7: 239–56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/449373>
- Rizvi, Pervez.** 2018. "Collocations and N-grams." *Shakespeare's Text.* Accessed December 18. <http://www.shakespearestext.com/can/index.htm>.
- Robertson, John Mackinnon.** 1914. *Elizabethan Literature.* London: Thornton Butterworth.
- Robertson, John Mackinnon.** 1924. *An Introduction to the Study of the Shakespeare Canon: Proceeding on the Problem of Titus Andronicus.* London: Routledge.
- Rubow, Paul V.** 1948. *Shakespeare og hans samtidige.* Copenhagen: Gyldendal.


- Steane, J. B.** (ed.) 1972. *Thomas Nashe: The Unfortunate Traveller and Other Works*. London: Penguin Books.
- Sykes, H. Dugdale.** 1919. *Sidelights on Shakespeare*. Stratford-upon-Avon: Shakespeare Head Press.
- Tarlinskaja, Marina.** 2014. *Shakespeare and the Versification of Elizabethan Drama 1561–1642*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Taylor, Gary.** 2014. "Empirical Middleton: *Macbeth*, Adaptation, and Microauthorship." *Shakespeare Quarterly* 65(3): 239–72. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/shq.2014.0030>
- Taylor, Gary, and Gabriel Egan.** (eds.) 2017. *The New Oxford Shakespeare: Authorship Companion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/actrade/9780199591169.001.0001>
- Taylor, Gary, John Jowett, Terri Bourus, and Gabriel Egan.** (eds.) 2016. *The New Oxford Shakespeare: Modern Critical Edition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/actrade/9780199591152.book.1>
- Timberlake, Philip.** 1931. *The Feminine Ending in English Blank Verse: A Study of its Use by Early Writers in the Measure and its Development in the Drama up to the Year 1595*. Menasha, USA: Banta.
- Vickers, Brian.** (ed.) 1996. *Francis Bacon: A Critical Edition of the Major Works*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Vickers, Brian.** 2008. "Thomas Kyd, Secret Sharer." *Times Literary Supplement*, April 13, 13–15. Accessed January 18, 2019. <https://www.the-tls.co.uk/articles/private/thomas-kyd-secret-sharer/>.
- Vickers, Brian.** 2014. "The Two Authors of *Edward III*." *Shakespeare Survey* 67: 102–18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/SSO9781107775572.008>
- Vickers, Brian.** 2015. "*King Leir* and *The Spanish Tragedy*: matching collocations." Lecture presented at *the King Leir: Play Reading and Symposium*, Somerville College. Oxford, May 16.
- Vickers, Brian.** 2018. "Kyd's Authorship of *King Leir*." *Studies in Philology* 115(3): 433–71. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/sip.2018.0016>
- Vickers, Brian.** (ed.) Forthcoming. *The Works of Thomas Kyd*. Suffolk: D. S. Brewer.

- Wells, Stanley,** and **Gary Taylor.** 1987. *William Shakespeare: A Textual Companion*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/actrade/9780198129141.book.1>
- Wells, William.** 1939. "The Authorship of *King Leir*." *Notes and Queries* 25: 434–8.
- Wells, William.** 1940. "Thomas Kyd and the Chronicle-History." *Notes and Queries* 30: 218–24, 238–43. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/nq/179.13.218>
- Wiggins, Martin,** and **Catherine Richardson.** 2012. *British Drama 1533–1642: A Catalogue: Volume II: 1567–1589*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wiggins, Martin,** and **Catherine Richardson.** 2013. *British Drama 1533–1642: A Catalogue: Volume III: 1590–1597*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wine, M. L.** (ed.) 1973. *The Tragedy of Master Arden of Faversham*. London: Methuen.

How to cite this article: Freebury-Jones, Darren. 2019. "Fearful Dreams" in Thomas Kyd's Restored Canon. *Digital Studies/Le champ numérique* 9(1): 3, pp. 1–25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16995/dscn.309>

Submitted: 13 March 2018 **Accepted:** 14 January 2019 **Published:** 07 February 2019

Copyright: © 2019 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

 *Digital Studies/Le champ numérique* is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Open Library of Humanities. **OPEN ACCESS** 